Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/587,899	SCHWARTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHYLLIS G. SPIVACK	1629	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Phyllis G. Spivack.	(3)		
(2) <u>B. Aaron Shulman</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 March 2012.			
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant	☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	□ No.		
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)			
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5-25.			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)			
Mr. Shulman agreed to amendments to the claims, title and Abstract, as set forth in the Examiner's Amendment, to put the present application in condition for allowance.			
-			
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.			
☐ Attachment			
/PHYLLIS G. SPIVACK/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629			

Application No.

Applicant(s)